

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,920	01/30/2004	Thomas Patrick Nolan	146712004000	3979
	7590 01/23/200 2 FOERSTER LLP	7	EXAM	INER
	BOULEVARD		RICKMAN, HOLLY C  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·		·	1773	
	7.0			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/23/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
·	10/766,920	NOLAN, THOMAS PATE	RICK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by significant or reply will be significant or	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)      Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce: See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. Hents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1 includes all of the limitations of claim 8.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The rejection of claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Nakamura et al. (US 2005/0134988) has been rendered moot by the cancellation of the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

et al. (US 20050134988).

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong et al. ("Controlling the crystallographic orientation in ultrathin L1- FePt (111) films on MgO(111) underlayer", IEEE Trans Magn, Vol. 37, No. 4, July 2001, pp 1268-1270) in view of Nakamura

Jeong et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, an fcc (111) underlayer and a magnetic layer thereon having an fct L10 structure (ie, fcc derivative structure). The reference fails to disclose that the c-axis of the magnetic grains is at an angle, canted ot of the plane of the magnetic layer.

Nakamura et al. teach that it is known in the art to deposit a magnetic recording layer formed from an L10 structure such that the c-axes of the magnetic grains are tilted out of the plane of the magnetic layer at an angle between 5 and 55 degrees. A specific example having an angle of 40 degrees is provided (see paragraph 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the L10 magnetic layer taught by Jeong et al. to have a tilted c-axis structure in accordance with the teaching of Nakamura et al. in order to achieve a magnetic recording medium having improved resolution and S/N ratio as suggested by Nakamura et al.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-10 and 22 are allowable over the closest prior art to Jeong et al. Jeong et al. fails to teach or suggest the use of an underlayer material having the claimed crystalline structure formed from alloys of Ru, Ag, Pt, or Pd (wherein the term alloy in the claim requires a mixture

Art Unit: 1773

of one of the claimed elements with another alloying component). Jeong et al. also fails to teach or suggest the use of an amorphous TiCr alloy underneath the underlayer.

With regard to claim 22, the reference fails to teach or suggest the claimed structure having an hcp underlayer with a <0002> orientation. Jeong et al. fails to teach or suggest a motivation to substitute an underlayer having this crystalline structure for the fcc <111> underlayer taught therein.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claims 1-8 are now allowable over Jeong et al. because they include the limitations of allowable claim 9. The examiner notes that claim 1 now includes the limitations of claim 8, not claim 9. Thus, the claims are not allowable for the reasons of record.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/766,920

Art Unit: 1773

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 5

date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

January 18, 2007